Approved For Release	2004/01/16 •	CIA-PDP69R00	369P00110040033_4
Approved For Release	2004/01/10.	CIA-KDE 03D00	<b>20214000100040033</b> **

## SECRET

## JOURNAL

## OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Wednesday - 11 May 1966

; 	1. Delivered to Mrs. Marie Wernick, in the	
•	office of Representative Jeffery Cohelan (DCalif.), a suggested reply to a	
	particularly difficult constitutent letter. Mrs. Wernick said the reply suited	
į	the case very well and thanked me for our cooperation.	·
t .	the case very well and mainted life for ear cooperation	
	2. Checked with Ben Zelenko of the House Judiciary	7
	Committee staff on the status of the bill on the use of the CIA name or initials.	
	Zelenko said the committee has not yet met to consider the bill but to keep	
•	reminding him.	
	3. Checked with Representative Frank Chelf's	
	office on the status of the Chelf has not yet had time	2
	to review the background material.	
	4. Pat Meo, in the office of Representative Ogden	
	Reid, called and said the Congressman had received several letters which he	
•	would like us to have. I stated these would be picked up some time tomorrow.	,
		4.
	5. alerted us to the possibili	Ty 
	alerted us to the possibili	Ty 
	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on	
	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on WH, against the possibility	
And the second s	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on WH, against the possibility that this may be a provocation against an Agency asset. has been	
And the second s	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on WH, against the possibility	]
	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on WH, against the possibility that this may be a provocation against an Agency asset. has been	]
design and the second of the s	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on WH, against the possibility that this may be a provocation against an Agency asset. has been	
	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on WH, against the possibility that this may be a provocation against an Agency asset. has been advised of this development. (See Journal, 10 May 1966)	
	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on  The report was sent to WH, against the possibility that this may be a provocation against an Agency asset. has been advised of this development. (See Journal, 10 May 1966)  JOHN S. WARNER	
	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on WH, against the possibility that this may be a provocation against an Agency asset. has been advised of this development. (See Journal, 10 May 1966)	
	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on WH, against the possibility that this may be a provocation against an Agency asset. has been advised of this development. (See Journal, 10 May 1966)  JOHN S. WARNER Legislative Counsel	
	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on  The report was sent to WH, against the possibility that this may be a provocation against an Agency asset. has been advised of this development. (See Journal, 10 May 1966)  JOHN S. WARNER Legislative Counsel  cc: Ex/Dir-Compt. SAO/DDP	
	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on  The report was sent to WH, against the possibility that this may be a provocation against an Agency asset. has been advised of this development. (See Journal, 10 May 1966)  JOHN S. WARNER Legislative Counsel  cc: Ex/Dir-Compt. SAO/DDP DD/S&T	
	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on  The report was sent to WH, against the possibility that this may be a provocation against an Agency asset. has been advised of this development. (See Journal, 10 May 1966)  JOHN S. WARNER Legislative Counsel  cc: Ex/Dir-Compt. SAO/DDP DD/S&T Item 6 -	
	6. The Office of Security reported their findings on  The report was sent to WH, against the possibility that this may be a provocation against an Agency asset. has been advised of this development. (See Journal, 10 May 1966)  JOHN S. WARNER Legislative Counsel  cc: Ex/Dir-Compt. SAO/DDP DD/S&T	